



Generalized Fixed Point Theorem of pal and Maiti

Sujatha Kurakala, Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Malla Reddy College of Engineering, Hyderabad.

Y. Rani, Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Malla Reddy College of Engineering, Hyderabad.
T. Sarala, Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Malla Reddy College of Engineering, Hyderabad.

Abstract : *In this paper, we extend a unique fixed point of Pal and Maiti for any positive power of two self mappings in 2-metric space*

AMS Subject Clasification: 4785, 47H10

Key words: *Fixed point , 2- metric space, Contractive mappings*

Introduction: The notion of 2-metric was introduced by Gahler in 1963 as an abstract generalization of the concept of area function for Euclidean triangles. The concept of 2-metric attracted the attention of many researchers. Many authors like Iseki, Khan, Rhoades, Lal and Singh etc. probed deeply into this area and established several fixed point theorems in 2- metric space setting as generalizations or extensions to the metric fixed point theorems. Several fixed point theorems appeared in 2-metric spaces analogous to the fixed point theorems in metric space setting. In this present work we generalize the fixed point theorems that are proved by pal and maiti[4]. In 1977 Rhoades [6] proved some fixed point theorems by using contractive type mappings for 2-metric spaces.

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some basic definitions which are needed for the further study of this paper

1.1 Definition: Let (X,d) be a 2 –metric space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be Contractive if for all x,y,a in X

$$d(Tx, Ty, a) < d(x, y, a)$$

1.2 Definition: A 2-metric on a non-empty set X is a function $d : X^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfying the following properties.

- (a) $d(x, y, z) = 0$, if at least two of x,y,z are equal
- (b) for each pair of distinct points x, y in X there exists a point $z \in X$ such that $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$
- (c) $d(x, y, z) = d(x, z, y) = d(y, z, x)$ for all x, y, z in X
- (d) $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, u) + d(x, u, y) + d(u, y, z)$ for all x, y, z and u in X

then d is called a 2-metric on X and the pair (X, d) is called a 2-metric space

1.3 Remark: A Contractive mapping of a complete 2 –metric space (X,d) into itself need not have a fixed point.

1.4 Example: Let $x = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq 1 \}$ with 2 –metric defined as

$$d(x,y,z) = \min \{ |x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x| \}$$

Let $F(x) = x + \frac{1}{x}$, then $F(1) = 2, F(2) = 2.5, F(3) = 3.33$ and so on.

$$d(F(1), F(2), F(3)) = d(2, 2.5, 3) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$d(1,2,3) = 1$$

But, $\frac{1}{2} < 1$, so F is a Contractive but it has no fixed point

2. Generalized fixed point theorem

2.1 Theorem: Let (X,d) be a complete 2-metric space and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$

Such that for all x, y, a in X and positive integers $p, q, (p + q)$

$$d(S^p(x), T^q(y), a) < \max. \{ d(x, y, a), d(x, S^p(x), a), d(y, T^q(y), a) \\ \frac{1}{2}[d(x, T^q(y), a) + d(y, S^p(x), a)]$$

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point

Proof: Let for any arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$, $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence defined as

$$X_{2n+1} = S^p x_{2n}, \quad X_{2n} = T^q x_{2n-1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then from given condition

$$d_{2n} = d\{x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a\} = d(S^p x_{2n}, T^q x_{2n-1}, a) \\ < \max \{d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a), d(x_{2n}, S^p x_{2n}, a), d(x_{2n-1}, T^q x_{2n-1}, a) \\ \frac{1}{2}[d(x_{2n}, T^q x_{2n-1}, a) + d(x_{2n-1}, S^p x_{2n}, a)] \}$$

$$\text{i.e., } d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) < d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a)$$

$$d_{2n+1} = d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a) = d(S^p x_{2n}, T^q x_{2n-1}, a) \\ < \max. d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a), d(x_{2n}, S^p x_{2n}, a), d(x_{2n+1}, T^q x_{2n-1}, a), \\ \frac{1}{2}[d(x_{2n}, T^q x_{2n-1}, a) + d(x_{2n+1}, S^p x_{2n}, a)] \}$$

$$\text{i.e., } d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a) < d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) < d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a), \dots, d(x_0, x_1, a)$$

Thus $d_{2n+1} < d_{2n} < \dots < d_0$. so the sequence $\{d_{2n}\}$ is monotone decreasing and bounded also

Thus $d_{2n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As X is compact, there exists a cluster point u in $\{x_n\}$

And so there exists a subsequence $\{x_{2n}\} \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Also $x_{2n+1} = S^p x_{2n} \rightarrow S^p u$ and

$$x_{2n+2} = T^q x_{2n+1} = T^q S^p x_{2n} \rightarrow T^q S^p u \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus we get

$$1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n}, S^p x_{2n}, a) = d(u, S^p u, a)$$

$$1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(S^p x_{2n}, T^q x_{2n+1}, a) \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(S^p x_{2n}, T^q S^p x_{2n}, a) \\ = d(S^p u, T^q S^p u, a)$$

Suppose that $u \neq S^p u$, then

$$d(u, S^p u, a) < d(u, S^p u, x_{2n}) + d(u, x_{2n}, a) + d(x_{2n}, S^p u, a) \\ = d(u, S^p u, x_{2n}) + d(u, x_{2n}, a) + d(T^q x_{2n-1}, S^p u, a) \\ < d(u, S^p u, x_{2n}) + d(u, x_{2n}, a) + \max \{ d(u, x_{2n-1}, a), d(u, S^p u, a), \\ d(x_{2n-1}, T^q x_{2n-1}, a), \frac{1}{2} [d(u, T^q x_{2n-1}, a) + d(x_{2n-1}, S^p u, a)] \} \\ = d(u, S^p u, x_{2n}) + d(u, x_{2n}, a) + \max \{ d(u, x_{2n-1}, a), d(u, S^p u, a), \\ d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, a), \frac{1}{2} [d(u, x_{2n}, a) + d(x_{2n-1}, S^p u, a)] \}$$

When $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$d(u, S^p u, a) < d(u, S^p u, a), \text{ which is impossible. Thus } S^p u = u.$$

Similarly we can show that $T^q u = u$.

Then u is the common fixed point of S^p and T^q .

Next we show that u is the only common fixed point of S^p and T^q .

If possible let $u^* \neq u$ is a another common fixed point of S^p and T^q .

Then $S^p(u) = T^q(u) = u^*$.

Hence $d(u, u^*, a) = d(S^p u, T^q u^*, a) < \max. \{ d(u, u^*, a), d(u, S^p u, a), d(u^*, T^q u^*, a),$

$$\frac{1}{2} [d(u, T^q u^*, a) + d(u^*, S^p u, a)] \}$$

$d(u, u^*, a) < d(u, u^*, a)$ which is a contradiction

Thus $u = u^*$.

Hence u is the unique common fixed point of S^p and T^q .

If we put $p = q = 1$ then u is a unique common fixed point of S and T .

Remark: If we put $S = T$ and $p = q = 1$ Then we get an analogee of pal and Maiti with condition (d) [4] in 2 -metric space.

References

1. **Das, B.K and Sharma, A,K.:** A Fixed Point Theorem. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., Vol-72, pp. 263-266, 1980
2. **Gahler, S., :** 2-Metrische Raume und ihre Topologische Struktur, Math. Nachr., Vol-26, pp. 115-148, 1963.
3. **Iseki, K. :** Fixed points theorems in 2-metric Spaces, Math.Seminar Notes, Vol-3, pp-133-136, 1975
4. **Khan,M.S.:** A theorem on fixed points , Math seminar Notes, Kobe University, Vol-2, pp. 227-228, 1976
5. **Pal, T.K and Maiti,M. :** Extensions of fixed point theorems of Rhodes and Ciric Proc,Amer.Math.Soc. Vol-64(2), 1977
6. **Petryshyn,W.V. :** Strjucture of the fixed points sets of the sets of K set- contractions Arch.Rational.mech.Anal. Vol-40, p.p 312-328, (1970/71)
7. **Rhoades,B.E :** Contraction type mappings on a 2- metric space. Math.Nachr. Vol- 91 , p.p 151-155, 1979.